

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

JEFFREY ARONOFSKY,

Petitioner,

v.

WARDEN ROSALEZ,

Respondent.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

1:22-CV-01140-DII-ML

ORDER

Before the Court is Petitioner Jeffrey Aronofsky’s (“Aronofsky”) Motion for Relief Under § 2241 U.S.C. Article 28. (Dkt. 1). The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Mark Lane for findings and recommendations, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Judge Lane filed his report and recommendation on June 28, 2023. (Dkt. 12). In his report and recommendation, Judge Lane recommends that the Court grant Respondent’s Motion to Dismiss, (Dkt. 10), and dismiss Aronofsky’s Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. (Dkt. 12, at 4). As of the date of this order, Aronofsky has not filed any objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge’s proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”).

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 12), is **ADOPTED. IT IS ORDERED** that Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, (Dkt. 10), is **GRANTED**, and Aronofsky's Motion for Relief Under § 2241 U.S.C. Article 28, (Dkt. 1), is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction.

SIGNED on July 27, 2023.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "R. Pitman", written over a horizontal line.

ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE